



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,107	08/0	01/2003	Steven Choon Meng Lau	P-5315C1	3619	
26253	7590	09/09/2004		EXAMINER		
	•	VP AND CHIEF	KENNEDY, SHARON E			
BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY 1 BECTON DRIVE, MC 110 FRANKLIN LAKES, NJ 07417-1880				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3762		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Lut.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,107	LAU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Sharon Kennedy	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commun 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers	·		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the containing the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyare tection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stag	e
•			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Application/Control Number: 10/633,107

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action or the MPEP.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: There is a typographical error in the parent application number. "10/199,4123" has been typed instead of "10/199,412."

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The "References to Related Applications" section should be updated to reflect the abandonment of the parent application.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 3762

The examiner has not found support in the specification describing the third leg being "pivotably attached" to the base of the locking element. This term can be inserted into the specification for antecedent support since it was disclosed in the original claims, but no further definition can be provided. It will be given a broad interpretation. (It also appears that the word "pivotally" should be used instead of "pivotably.")

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Schoenfeld et al., US 6,283,941. Regarding claims 5 and 6, and the term "pivotably attached," the examiner takes the position that since this element is undefined in the specification, a broad interpretation is reasonable. Note that Schoenfeld has a spring type fit on tab 110 of Figure 10. This is the same spring type fit described by third leg 68. Accordingly, the examiner takes the position that tab 110 anticipates the claimed pivotal attachment. Tab 110 is described at column 18, line 65 to column 19, line 6, and is bent radially inwardly towards the plunger. Regarding claim 3 and the claimed inner edge and outer edge, the examiner takes the position that the joint or bend (unlabeled) between side wall 62 and localized section 95 constitutes the inner edge, and the outer edge includes the barbs 105 and 107. See figure 10 of Shoenfeld.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Allison et al., US 5,205,825, and the patents to

Kosinski, US 4,961,728 and US 4,973,310 are noted. These references were applied in the parent application, 10/199,412. Also of note is the patent to Lampropoulos et al., US 5,215,536, especially figure 4.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Kennedy whose telephone number is 703/305-0154. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703/308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sharon Kennedu Sharon Kennedy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

Sek